

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office. Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/057,027	01/24/2002	Friedrich Jonas	Mo6935/LeA 34,765	3582	
34947 75	590 06/13/2003			•	
BAYER CHEMICALS CORPORATION 100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205			EXAMINER		
			GARRETT, DAWN L		
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1774	6	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/20	03	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/ * ·	; <u> </u>	4		AS
4. Apr .		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/057,027	JONAS ET AL.	
Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dawn Garrett	1774	
The MAILING I Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from If the period for reply specif If NO period for reply is spe Failure to reply within the st	et or extended period for reply will, by statute, office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH's cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 24 J	lanuary 2002 .	,	
2a) This action is		is action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this app	•	ance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.	;
·	lare pending in the application.			
	e claim(s) is/are withdrav	un from consideration		
5) Claim(s)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	wn from consideration.		
<u> </u>			•	
	is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s)	•			
o)⊠ Claim(s) <u>i-o</u> ar Application Papers	e subject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.		
	n is objected to by the Examine	r.	•	
	filed on is/are: a)□ accep		Examiner.	
	not request that any objection to the	•		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rawing correction filed on			
If approved, co	rrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.		
12) The oath or dec	laration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgme	ent is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)∏ All b)∏ So	me * c) None of:			
1. Certified	copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified	copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	lication No	
appli	f the certified copies of the prior cation from the International Bu detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgmen	t is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application	n).
	ition of the foreign language pro It is made of a claim for domesti			
Attachment(s)	icis made di a dalili lui dolllesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. 99	3 120 aliu/01 121.	
Notice of References Cit Notice of Draftsperson's	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	÷ ·

Application/Control Number: 10/057,027

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a dispersion, classified in class 525, subclass 186.
 - II. Claims 7 and 8, drawn to an electroluminescent arrangement, classified in class 428, subclass 690.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediatefinal product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as dispersion for something other than an electroluminescent device such as an electrochromic device and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Although not set forth in the claims, it is understood by way of the specification the dispersion comprises particles in a liquid such as water or solvent. The liquid itself is not present in the final product. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the

Application/Control Number: 10/057,027

Art Unit: 1774

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - 3,4-poly-alkylenedioxythiophenes according to formula (I).

Applicant should select groups R1 and R2 independent of one another from the group consisting of H, alkyl radical having from 1 to 20 carbon atoms, an aryl radical having from 6 to 14 carbon atoms or -CH₂-OR³. (If -CH₂-OR³ is selected, applicant should further indicate a group for R³ from H, alkyl or -CH₂-CH₂-CH₂-SO₃H.)

- 5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-8 are generic.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 1774

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 9. The examiner's attempt to contact applicants' representatives on June 4, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement did not result in an election being made.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/057,027

Art Unit: 1774

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dawn Garrett whose telephone number is (703) 305-0788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

DAUN LYAUUTT
DAWN GARRETT
PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

D.G. June 6, 2003